

844 N. Ave 65  
Los Angeles CA 90042  
Feb 22, 1994

Dear Charles,

I hope this finds you well. Things are not so bad out this way. The mountains are starting to move. Whether they are coming to me at long last, I cannot yet tell. No work. But I have been spending more time on music and plant-breeding. I have become very intrigued with the resourcefulness of recurrent sequences in the formation of scales, sonorities, timbres, and even rhythms.

The Fibonacci series is a recurrent sequence whos recurrence relation is  $A_n = A_{n-1} + A_{n-2}$ .

A particular case of this sequence where  $A_1 = 1$  and  $A_2 = 1$  is the well-known sequence 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377. Regardless of how the sequence is seeded the ratio of ~~the~~ any 2 terms in sequence will converge on the limit 1.618... Example;  $55 \div 34 = 1.617647$ ,  $89 \div 55 = 1.618182$ ,  $144 \div 89 = 1.617978$ .

When the integers of this sequence are taken to be the corresponding harmonics of the harmonic series, chords/timbres/scales are formed with the most remarkable sum/difference-tone properties.

A musically more accessible sound however comes from the recurrence relation  $H_n = 2(H_{n-3} + H_{n-4})$ . The particular case where  $H_1 = 1$ ,  $H_2 = 2.5$ ,  $H_3 = 3$ ,  $H_4 = 5$  produces the recurrent sequence;

$\overbrace{1, 2.5, 3, 5, 7, 11, 16, 24, 36, 54, 80, 120, 180, 268, 400, 600, 896,}^{\text{Just Diatonic}} \underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}$   
F C G D A E B  
(9)

1, 336, 2000, 2992, 4,464, 6,672, 9,984, 14,912, 22,272 etc. In this case  $H_n \div H_{n-1}$  (any 2 terms in sequence) will converge on the limit 1.49453018048. This compares sympathetically with John Harrison's 1.49441150965, such that there will be a significant carry-over of the sum/difference-tone properties.

More later  
Sincerely,  
Erv Wilson

# Recurrent Sequence, $H_n = 2(H_{n-4} + H_{n-3})$ (meta-mean tone)

(1.49453018048 = Limit of  $H_n/H_{n-1}$ )

© 1993 by Erv Wilson (Oct 16, 1993 E.W.)  
(rev Feb 20, 1994 E.W.)

→ $H_{n-4}$	1	256 ✓	Key F 352		
+ → $H_{n-3}$	2.5	320 ✓	440		
x2	3	384 ✓	264		
=	5	320 ✓	440		<u>Scalatron Settings</u>
↳ $H_n$	7	224 •	308 Eb		2 5 7 10
	11	352	242 Bb		2 3 7 8
	16	256 ✓	352 F		4 9 10
	24	384 ✓	264 C		6 7 9
(9)	36	288	396 G		1 3 5 8 9 10
	54	432	297 D		1 3 4 5 10
	80	320 ✓	440 A		1 3 4
	120	240	330 E		1 2 5 6 8 10
	180	360	247.5 B		1 2 3 4 6 7 8
	268	268	368.5 F#		1 7 9 10
	400	400	275 C#		3 6 8 9
	600	300	412.5 G#		1 2 7 8 9 10
	896	224 •	308 D#		2 5 7 10
	1,336	334	229.62 A#		2 6 7
	2,000	250	343.75 (E#)F		2 4 6 7 8 10
	2,992	374	257.125 C		1 2 5 6 9
	4,464 ✓	279	383.625 G		1 5 6 7 9 10
	6,672 ✓	417	286.69 D		2 3 6 7 8 9
	9,984	312 (29)	429.00 A		3 4 6 7 8 9 10
	14,912	233	320.38 E		1 2 4 8 10
	22,272	348	239.25 B		1 5 6 8
	33,312	260.25	357.84		
	49,792	389			
	74,368	290.5			
	111,168	434.25			
	166,208	324.625			
	248,320	242.5			
	371,072	362.375			
	554,752	270.875			
	829,056	404.8125			
	1,238,784	302.4375			
	1,851,648	226.03125			
	2,767,616	337.84375			
	4,135,680	252.421875			
	6,180,864	377.25			
	9,238,528	281.9375			

## Recurrent Sequence

Example;  $2(1 + 2.5) = 7$   
 $2(2.5 + 3) = 11$   
 $2(3 + 5) = 16$   
 $2(5 + 7) = 24$   
 $2(7 + 11) = 36$   
 $2(11 + 16) = 54$   
 $2(16 + 24) = 80$   
 $2(24 + 36) = 120$  etc.

B# 2,992 8.	C* 6,672 2.	D* 14,912 5.	E# 2,000 7.	F* 4,464 10.	G* 9,984 13.	A* 22,272 16.	B# 2,992 18.
C# 400 1.	D# 896 4.	E 120 6.	F# 268 9.	G# 600 12.	A# 1,336 15.	B 180 17.	C 24 19/0.
C 24 0/19.	D 54 3.	(7)	F 16 8.	G 36 11.	A 80 14.	(11)	(1)
(3)	(2.5)	(5)					

Recurrent Sequence,  $H_n = 2(H_{n-3} + H_{n-4})$   
 1, 2.5, 3, 5, 7, 11, 16, 24, etc as shown,  
 on the Bosanquet Keyboard Geometry  
 ©1994 by Ervin M. Wilson

# Proportional "4, 5, 6" Sequence

dated  
Oct 16, 1993  
Erv Wilson

Meta-Mean-tone  
©1993 by Erv Wilson  
ref John Harrison's scale  
 $\frac{120}{80}$        $\frac{180}{120}$

0	+1	+2	+3	+4				
2	3	4.5	6.75	10.125				
$\frac{16}{11}$	$\frac{24}{16}$	$\frac{36}{24}$	$\frac{54}{36}$	$\frac{80}{54}$				
$\frac{27}{18}$	$\frac{40}{27}$	$\frac{60}{40}$	$\frac{90}{60}$	$\frac{134}{90}$	$\frac{200}{134}$	$\frac{300}{200}$		

$H_n = 2(H_{n-4} + H_{n-3})$ , Recurrent Sequence

$H_{n-4}$	$H_{n-3}$			$H_n$											
1	2.5	3	5	7	11	16	24	36	54	80	120	180	268	400	600
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)			(6,7)						
			(2)	(3)	(4)				Proportional Triad(s)						
				(3)	(4)				(2)	$H_n/H_{n-1}$ Converges on <u>1.49453018048</u>					
				(3)	(4)				(3)	(See also proportional "4,5,6" 1992)					
				(4)	(1)				(4)						

↓ To get a quick convergence

<pre>( RCL 1 + RCL 2 ) x 2 = STO 1, ( RCL 2 + RCL 3 ) x 2 = STO 2,</pre>	<pre>( RCL 3 + RCL 4 ) x 2 = STO 3, ( RCL 4 + RCL 1, ) x 2 = STO 4, ÷ RCL 3, =</pre>	<pre>( RCL 1 + RCL 2 ) x 2 = STO 1, [ ÷ RCL 4 = STO 5 ] ( RCL 2 + RCL 3 ) x 2 = STO 2,</pre>	<pre>( RCL 3 + RCL 4 ) x 2 = STO 3, [ ÷ RCL 2, = STO 7 ] ( RCL 4 + RCL 1, ) x 2 = STO 4,</pre>	<p style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">This stores the ratios for viewing at 5,6,7,8</p>
<pre>[ ÷ RCL 1, = STO 6 ]</pre>		<pre>[ ÷ RCL 3, = STO 8 ]</pre>		

rev Feb 20, 1994 E.W.

Try this: 2 3 4.5 6.5 10 15 22 33 50 74 110 166 248 368